

Implementing Mutation Testing for VDM-SL in ViennaTalk

Tomohiro Oda

Software Research Associates, Inc.

Agenda

- Mutation Testing
- Add-one Mutation
- Negate Mutation
- UI & demo
- Experiment
- Discussion and Conclusion

Mutation Testing

- How do you confirm your `isOdd` function works as intended?
 - **assert** `isOdd(1)`
 - **assert** `not isOdd(2)`
- How do you confirm your tests work as intended?
 - **assert** `TEST(correct_isOdd)` ... you don't have `correct_isOdd`.
 - **assert** `not TEST(buggy_isOdd)` ... you can generate `buggy_isOdd` by injecting a bug.

test whether or not your test can detect bugs generated by mutation.

mutation score = number of red mutants / total number of mutants

Add-one mutation

replace `expr` with `expr + 1` where `expr` is statically typed `real`.

`isOdd : nat -> nat`

`isOdd(x) == x mod 2 = 1`

- $(x+1) \bmod 2 = 1$
- $x \bmod (2+1) = 1$
- $x \bmod 2 = 1+1$

impacts on numeric computation, sequence indexing, cardinality of `set/seq`, and `for ... to ... do` loop.

Negate mutation

replace `expr` **with** `not expr` **where** `expr` **is statically typed** `bool`.

`isOdd : nat -> bool`

`isOdd(x) == x mod 2 = 1`

- `not (x mod 2 = 1)`

impacts on boolean expression, `if` branch, `while ... do` loop, and assertion

UI (demo)

Vienna Refactoring Browser

File ▾ Test ▾ Smalltalk ▾

Eratothenes	- all -	- all -
EratothenesTest	state	Sieve
UnitTesting	operations	isPrime
	traces	createSieve
		Singles
		Pairs

Source Playground HiDeHo Git

```
1 module Eratothenes
2 exports all
3 definitions
4 state Sieve of
5   sieve : seq of bool
6 init s == s = mk_Sieve([])
7 end
8 operations
9   isPrime : nat1 ==> bool
10  isPrime(n) ==
11    (if n > len sieve then createSieve(n);
12     return sieve(n))
13 pre  n >= 2
14 post
15  RESULT <=> not (exists p in set {2, ..., n - 1} & n
```

auto run run Mutation test

module	test	message
Eratothenes	Singles	Found 0 failures ou
Eratothenes	Pairs	Found 0 failures ou
EratothenesTest	test_pre_isPrime	
EratothenesTest	test_isPrime	

Experiment: Sieve of Eratosthenes

```
state Sieve of
  sieve : seq of bool
init s == s = mk_Sieve([])
end
operations
isPrime : nat1 ==> bool
isPrime(n) ==
  (if n > len sieve then createSieve(n);
   return sieve(n))
pre   n >= 2
post  RESULT <=>
      not (exists p in set {2, ..., n - 1} & n mod p = 0);
```

```
createSieve : nat1 ==> ()
createSieve(size) ==
  (dcl  newSieve:seq of bool := [true | i in set {1, ..., size}],
   n:nat1 := 2;
   newSieve(1) := false;
   while n * n <= size do
     (if newSieve(n) then
      for m = n * 2 to size by n do
        newSieve(m) := false;
      n := n + 1);
   sieve := newSieve)
pre   size >= 2
post  len sieve = size
      and (forall n in set {2, ..., size} &
           sieve(n) <=>
             not (exists p in set {2, ..., n - 1} & n mod p = 0));
```

Experiment: Sieve of Erathostenes ... tests

traces

Singles:

```
let n in set {2, ..., 50} in  
  isPrime(n);
```

Pairs:

```
let n1, n2 in set {2, ..., 50} in  
  (isPrime(n1);  
   isPrime(n2));
```

```
test_pre_isPrime : () ==> ()
```

```
test_pre_isPrime() ==
```

```
(assert(not Eratosthenes`pre_isPrime(1, no_sieve), "should not give 1");  
assert(not Eratosthenes`pre_isPrime(1, sieve), "should not give 1");  
assert(Eratosthenes`pre_isPrime(2, no_sieve), "2 without sieve is OK");  
assert(Eratosthenes`pre_isPrime(2, sieve), "2 with sieve is OK");  
assert(Eratosthenes`pre_isPrime(4, no_sieve), "4 without sieve is OK");  
assert(Eratosthenes`pre_isPrime(4, sieve), "4 with sieve is OK");  
skip);
```

```
test_isPrime : () ==> ()
```

```
test_isPrime() ==
```

```
(assert(Eratosthenes`isPrime(2), "2 is prime");  
assert(Eratosthenes`isPrime(3), "3 is prime");  
assert(Eratosthenes`isPrime(5), "5 is prime");  
assert(Eratosthenes`isPrime(5), "5 is prime");  
assert(not Eratosthenes`isPrime(6), "6 is not prime");  
assert(Eratosthenes`isPrime(5), "5 is prime"));
```

mutation scores from various test conditions

test condition	killed	surviving	mutation score
unit testing without mutating pre/post conditions	20	9	0.6897
unit testing including mutated pre/post conditions	55	14	0.7971
unit testing without post conditions	16	13	0.5517
combinatorial testing without mutating pre/post conditions	25	4	0.8621
combinatorial testing including mutated pre/post conditions	60	9	0.8696
combinatorial testing without post conditions	7	22	0.2414

Discussion and Conclusion

- Do we want to mutate pre/post/inv in the spec?
 - Probably yes because assertions are the very core parts of the specification.
 - If we don't, our tests are against only the explicit statements/expressions.
- Lower mutation score means loose specification?
 - Probably yes if you give enough amount of tests.

Further investigation is needed.

- more kinds of mutation operators
- more kinds of VDM specifications